

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

## 1620's Assigned A Rating Of - 1

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
001004                      SUBPART NUMBERING

**Submitter:**   THOMAS SHAW

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Many Architects doing work for the private sector (and in compliance with CSI's Section Format and Page Format) choose to number the Sub-Parts differently from what is currently allowed in SI. Instead of beginning their numbering with Part 1, and following with Subpart 1.1, and then with Subpart 1.2., they number utilizing Part 1, then Subpart 1.A, and following with Subpart 1.B. Our firm is wanting to use SI, but about 90% of our architectural clients don't use the numbering system as SI is currently configured.

See Continuation On Page 2.

**Board Comments**

Pending Analysis by Development Team.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

**Board Reviewed - Jul 18, 2000**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 1**

**SI Recommendation**

Implementing this request would impact the master text for all three Agencies, and would require significant software changes.

**Additional Notes**

**Version:**

**Change was:** DEFERRED

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
01-056                      NEW FEATURE TO CONVERT PROCESSED JOBS TO WORDSPEC

**Submitter:**   CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Currently we allow users the capability to convert the SpecsIntact working files (SEC) to WordSpec. Many of the users have the requirement to submit the processed job in Word format to post on the web. The support desk has a work-around procedure to allow them to convert a processed job to WordSpec, but this procedure is step intensive. This procedure requires the users to do the following:

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Provide the capability to convert the processed files (PRN) files to WordSpec. This will save a lot of time for the users as well as the support desk personnel. This is a very common requirement for many projects.

**Board Reviewed - Nov 14, 2001**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 1**

**SI Recommendation**

This would be a good enhancement to incorporate into the current process. This would not only save time for our users but the support desk personnel as well. This has been an overwhelming need since electronic bid sets have become a way to do business.

**Additional Notes**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

# All Open 1620s

## (Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

### Control Number    Title of Prob/Requirements

02-008    New Tag to Identify Preparing Activity

**Submitter:**   JIM QUINN

### Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Tag to Identify Preparing Activity: The tag we requested will greatly simply the identification of the preparing activity and will be a great help to users and guide specifications developers. The Preparing Activity information is located on the second line of the banner of each specification.

The implementation of the new tag will require updating the INI files, Installation, WordSpec, SI Editor, and User Documentation. Code will need to be written to allow for specifications with or without the tag to process correctly in SpecsIntact.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 1**

### SI Recommendation

### Additional Notes

4/25/02, 2:07:23 PM - ROBINPT - After talking with Mr. Quinn we realized he really just needed the MakeToC program updated.

Tag to Identify Preparing Activity: The tag we requested will greatly simply the identification of the preparing activity and will be a great help to users and guide specifications developers. The Preparing Activity information is located on the second line of the banner of each specification.

The implementation of the new tag will require updating the INI files, Installation, WordSpec, SI Editor, and User Documentation. Code will need to be written to allow for specifications with or without the tag to process correctly in SpecsIntact.

7/30/02, 2:33:02 PM - FITZSCL - This should be worked with 001026 and 02-007. This will possibly affect the FrontEnd, SI Editor, prntproc32.dll, prntjob.dll and WordSpec.

### Board Comments

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

### Submitter's Recommendation

---

### Control Number    Title of Prob/Requirements

02-009    Modify MakeToc Program to Identify Preparing Activity

**Submitter:**   JIM QUINN

### Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Modify the Maketoc program to extract the Preparing Activity information and include the information in the UFGS Master Table of Contents maintained on the National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS) web site.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 1**

### SI Recommendation

We recommend making changes to the Maketoc Program. Instead of extracting the information from the Master Shelf.doc file the information can be extracted from the section files to generate the UFGS Master Table of Contents (TOC).

### Board Comments

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

### Additional Notes

7/18/02, 10:12:18 AM - FITZSCL - This should be worked in conjunction with Mr. Quinn's " SpecsIntact Master Maintenance" program that replaces/combines the MacToc, MastZip and other independent applications as well as #001022.

### Submitter's Recommendation

---

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>	<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>	Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002
02-014	PDF PUBLISH BOOKMARKS - INCLUDE SECTION NUMBER IN TITLE	Would like option to include section number in bookmark title of each section.	<b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 1</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> DWIGHT STATON			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> This is a good recommendation, and would be good to implement into the PDF Publish feature.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b>	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b>	

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>	<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>	Board Reviewed - Nov 17, 1998
981008	PROVIDE AUTOMATIC RE-LETTERING	Add an enhancement to re-letter a, b, c's.....	<b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 1</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> GLEN CHIHARA			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> Recommend R/A. This feature would benefit many users. A comprehensive solution with new tags that provided automatic lettering/numbering would be best, but would be somewhat time-consuming to implement. Adding such functionality could also position us to better support other types of specification formats.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b> 11/17/98 - Army did not concur.	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b> 11/12/98 - Have New Hire research R/A & program print part - Jim will program Editor part. NOTE: Would only re-letter new documents.		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b>	

---

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 991012	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> JOBS ENHANCEMENT - EXECUTE REVISIONS	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Create an option to Execute Revisions for an entire Job as well as each section.	<b>Board Reviewed - Dec 07, 1999</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 1</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> LISA ROBERTS			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> Recommend the implementation of this 1620 after the rewrite of the 32-bit Editor. It would give us the ability to use the Editor's existing revision execution capabilities from the rest of the SPECSINTACT software, and will eliminate the need for redundant code to execute revisions.	<b><u>Board Comments</u></b> Approved for implementation in the 32-bit software.		<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b> 03/13/02 - JSW - Umberto Check with Maggie/Cheryl/Jennifer. Do not need Editor if not concerned about Interlinked Tags.  ISSUE: INTERLINKED TAGS.	<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b>		
4/22/02, 12:15:46 PM - SARTOUB - Code has been completed. Awaiting testing. Execute Revisions option is available after selecting one or more files from either a Job or Local Master sections view. Right click or use Sections menu drop down; then select Execute Revisions. A confirmations dialog box appears if more than one section is selected; user must confirm or alter selection list. User can also opt create a back-up copy of files. Click OK to confirm and initiate revisions. If any file was revised, its name will be included in the Revised Files sub-node of the Jobs or Local Master.			

---

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

## 1620's Assigned A Rating Of - 2

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
001025                      WIDOW/ORPHAN CONTROL

**Submitter:**    VICKY FLEER

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Auto page breaks are misleading and inaccurate due to auto paragraph numbering and reconciliation abilities. To correct widows and orphans, the operator has to insert manual page breaks. Program needs a print preview ability (as the document would print with auto numbering and reconciliation engaged in final --not draft--form) or provide format ability to keep lines together or keep headers with text.

**Board Reviewed - Dec 05, 2000**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 2**

**SI Recommendation**

**Board Comments**

Implement in Print Preview (Reference 001015)

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Additional Notes**

6/28/02, 10:50:00 AM - FITZSCL - This should be worked with 001015.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
01-003                      REFERENCE MANAGER

**Submitter:**    RAY DUNCAN

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

For jobs I need to use the UMRL as the source for reference to be added to jobs and the source of the addresses to be added to the Reference Section (01420).

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 2**

**SI Recommendation**

This could be combined with Mr. Quinn's change request for automatic updating of Section Reference Articles based on the current UMRL. We recommend the implementation of the request using the existing Reference Reconciliation process, along with enhancements suggested by Mr. Quinn (01-027)

**Board Comments**

05/02/2001 - Presented at Meeting - DEFERRED -Will be reviewed after UMRL file reflects consistent references (Titles, Identifiers for all three agencies.]  
06/13/02 - 1620 was deferred at SI CCCB Meeting on 05/02/02 - it was partially accepted at the 06/13/02 meeting along with 01-059.

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Additional Notes**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Add the ability in the reference manager to bring up the UMRL and use it to get the addresses and references to be added to the sections in a job.

---

# All Open 1620s

## (Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b>	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b>
01-007	TAILORING OPTION ENHANCEMENT

**Submitter:** CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

### **SI Recommendation**

The suggestion to optionally show selected tags during printing has been previously rejected by the SI-CCCB as being too time-consuming. Still, this suggestion has appeal, in that it would allow easier identification of certain tagged information. The suggestion has been made several times. It could not be readily accomplished in WordSpec, however.

### **Additional Notes**

### **Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Provide the capability/option to print the tags for Jobs/Masters. The Tailoring Option is not utilized as intended since the majority of the specifiers are marking up the sections from a paper copy and not from SpecsIntact. The specifier has no way of knowing where these tailoring options exist in the technical sections. Army places the Tailoring information in the notes but this does not tell them what is tailored and where. Now that the Army and Navy are combining into one Master, UFGS, this will be even more of an issue.

### **Board Comments**

### **Submitter's Recommendation**

Add the capability to optionally show the tailoring tags during print as we do with Notes, Revisions and Tags. This will allow the Tailoring Option to be utilized as it was originally intended and make the markup of the technical sections more efficient.

**Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 2**

**Version:**

**Change was: ACCEPTED**

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b>	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b>
01-027	ENHANCEMENT TO REFERENCE MANAGER PROCESSING FOR MASTERS

**Submitter:** JIM QUINN

### **SI Recommendation**

Recommend this feature be implemented and coordinated with 01-003 submitted by Ray Duncan..

### **Additional Notes**

### **Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Each guide specification includes a listing of publications referenced in the text of the guide specification, and this listing should be kept current at all times. Use of automation in the maintenance of reference publication listings in UFGS could simplify the job and increase production....

### **Board Comments**

1. SMRL will be updated to reflect Army, Navy, & NASA Master References.
2. SMRL will reflect consistend references (Titles, Identifers for all three agencies).
3. This 1620 is approved for implementation after items 1 and 2 are completed.

### **Submitter's Recommendation**

Following resolution of the organization names and resolution of the reference identifiers in the guide specifications and in the UMRL and verification of the publication titles and dates in the UMRL, the automated reference updating in the UFGS can be implemented. The automated procedures would utilize the Reference Processing function of SpecsIntact to delete the existing reference listing in a guide specification and regenerate a new reference listing based on the current UMRL. The automated procedures would be applied to one section at a time and each section then coordinated with the Technical Proponent / Technical Representative for the section prior to release of the changed section into the UFGS system.

**Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 2**

**Version:**

**Change was: ACCEPTED**

# All Open 1620s

## (Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

### Control Number    Title of Prob/Requirements

01-032    JOB PROPERTIES FOR ENGLISH & METRIC

**Submitter:**   DAVE EVANS

### SI Recommendation

This could be implemented without too much trouble and would be an excellent feature for SpecsIntact 3.

### Additional Notes

### Explanation of Problem/Requirement

Generally, entire jobs are metric, english, or both. It would be a highly unusual job where some sections are metric, others are english, and some are both. Currently, when one sets up a new job, there is no way to "set" the entire job to metric, english, or both. Instead, this is set in the editor and in the print/process routine. This can lead to inadvertent errors, such as editing in metric, and processing reports in english...which of course leads to confusing reports or worse.

### Board Comments

Approved for 32-bit editor. Select @ "Properties for Job" Window. Team will research into making it a default selection if possible.

### Submitter's Recommendation

Recommend adding the ability, in the File->New->Job form, to "set" the job to metric, english, or both, and then using that setting to initialize the editor and print/process routine to the appropriate view or units. You should leave the user the ability to change the view in the editor, or change the units in the print/process routine, but they should at least start out in the view and units appropriate to the job.

**Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 2**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

---

### Control Number    Title of Prob/Requirements

01-052    COMBINE STOC WITH PDF FILES MODIFICATION

**Submitter:**   NEIL ANDERSON

### SI Recommendation

This would be a good enhancement for SpecsIntact 3 although it would require significant software changes. Incorporate the option to control numbering even if the STOC is combined with the Section. Also, add a new feature not to combine the STOC and Section. This gives our users more flexibility on how they want the PDF files handled.

Same as 01-028 which was deferred at the May 2, 2001 Meeting. This 1620 does provide more detail.

### Additional Notes

04/04/02 - CLF: When this feature is implemented it should also be tested with the PDF/Publish Tool.

### Explanation of Problem/Requirement

When creating pdf files for a job, under "Process and Print" in the "Report" tab, the "Combine Sections and Section Tables of Contents (STOC)" box is checked and is rendered unchangeable. This adds the toc with each section. The first page of the processed "section" (combined w/STOC) is numbered as page one. The first page of the actual section is no longer page 1. EX: 2 page toc, 5 page section. The job printed to pdf contains seven pages. The first page of the STOC is "Section ????? page 1" and the first page of the actual section is "Section ????? page 3".

### Board Comments

06/13/02 - Change Request was deferred and reviewed at the SI-CCCB meeting. It was accepted to enable the options in PDF that are currently available for the printed hard copy.

### Submitter's Recommendation

The box should not be checked. Page numbering should be permitted to restart between producing the section table of contents and the section, even when producing a pdf file. People around here do not consider the first page of the table of contents to be the first page of the section. Solution would be to allow a separate TOC pdf file to be produced, separate from the actual section.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 2**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

01-055	SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCE LIST
--------	-----------------------------

**Submitter:** KAREN PRICE

**SI Recommendation**

Currently, SpecsIntact provides the users with the ability to backup the Supplemental Reference List directly from the software. This feature is available by going to File / Backup/Restore Manage, Other Files and selecting Supplemental Reference File. Moving the supp.ref into the Software or Working Directory path would not be a better solution. If users uninstalled SpecsIntact prior to updating they would lose the supp.ref more frequently. Leaving the supp.ref in the Windows or WINNT folder seems to be the best location at present.

**Additional Notes**

4/22/02, 12:09:56 PM - SARTOUB - From the Reference Processing window, select the Supplemental Reference List tab. The Change Reference File Path command button allows user to change the current Supplemental Reference File path, which is displayed in the Supplemental Reference File label on the same tab.

Note that once the New Directory dialog box is displayed to change the file path, user must double click the desired folder, not merely click on it. Double clicking will update Directory Name label, which is then reported back to the Supplemental Reference File label on the tab when the New Directory dialog box is closed.

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

I had the hard drive replaced on my computer and the Supplemental Reference List was also removed because it was stored in C:\WINNT directory.

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Place the supplemental Reference List file in a location (I suggest the SpecsIntact directory) where it does not get deleted something like Jobs and Masters. A user normally knows when their hard drive or computer is worked on that they must maintain the Jobs and Local Masters that they have created on their hard drive and will more than likely back them up.

**Board Reviewed - Nov 14, 2001**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 2**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

01-059	REFERENCE PROCESSING
--------	----------------------

**Submitter:** JAMELL CASWELL

**SI Recommendation**

This should be worked with 981015, 001021, 01-003 and 01-027 submitted by Ray Duncan and Mr. Quinn.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Cannot use UMRL for SI Reference Processing, but need to be able to.

**Board Comments**

11/14/02 -

1. Agencies to get together and clean the UMRL FIRST!
2. Agreed to: Check (report) of discrepancies between UMRL & MRL for Masters and UMRL & Jobs for old Projects; UMRL shall be distributed for reference purposes to all thru NIBS; Control of UMRL needed by perhaps the SpecsIntact Team.

06/13/02 - Change request was reviewed at the SI-CCCB meeting and was changed from Deferred to Accepted.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Modify Reference Processing to use the UMRL. It should be available for Reference Processing, Print Processing and Reference Manager.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 2**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED



# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 02-005	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> UFGS Masters brought in through Backup/Restore/Manage	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> When downloading the UFGS masters from the CCB website they are zipped up through Dyna Zip. When trying to bring in the UFGS masters through backup/restore manage an error message appears Dyna Zip Unzip Error: No files to test, extract, etc (No items match filespec) Then an error message appears: Could not obtain required SpecsIntact backup data from the file c:\downloads\ufgsall.zip This may not be a SpecsIntact backup file	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 2</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> JENNIFER HORVATH			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> Recommend modifying the Mastzip program to incorporate this feature and distribute to NIBS.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b> 06/13/02 - Modify MastZip to include all supporting files required for a master. Example Project Table of Contents, etc.	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Change the zip name from UFGSall.zip to UFGS_M.zip and insert the ufgs_m.sib, pull.tbl, and the wmaster.hdr files to enable the zipped files to be brought directly into SpecsIntact through the backup/restore/manage.	

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 02-019	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> AUTOMATIC GENERATION OF REFERENCE ARTICLE FOR JOBS	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Currently the process to Generate New Reference Articles in Section, is only available in Masters. In order to do this on a Job using the UFGSREF Master is confusing and time consuming to the users.	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 2</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> CHERYL FITZ-SIMON			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> We recommend this feature is implemented for the processing of jobs. It would simplify this process considerably for our users and save a lot of support time for the Technical Support Desk.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b>	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Make the Processing feature to Generate New Reference Article in Sections available for Jobs as well as Masters.	

---

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

941003

REMOVE CHANGE NOTICES

<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>
---

Create automatic feature in the Edit Menu to strip change notice tokens from entire file.

Board Reviewed - Jul 26, 1994

Date Completed:

Assigned Rating: 2

Submitter: CARL KERSTEN

<u>SI Recommendation</u>
--------------------------

Recommend implementing only in Windows version. 11/12/98 - Implement for both 16-bit & 32-bit. NOTE: This could be an optional choice for one section or all in Masters.

<u>Board Comments</u>
-----------------------

Approved for both 16-bit & 32-bit.

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

11/27/00 - Add Search and Replace Feature to 32-bit This should be implemented with Control #981016

<u>Additional Notes</u>
-------------------------

<u>Submitter's Recommendation</u>
-----------------------------------

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

941020

BETTER TABLE HANDLING

<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>
---

Need to be able to use Layout 7 for other than Tables. some paragraphs and notes have info that needs to be in "table" (or "column") format though they are not true tables and the columns do nt have headers. SGML prevents this.

Board Reviewed - Nov 13, 1994

Date Completed:

Assigned Rating: 2

Submitter: CARL KERSTEN

<u>SI Recommendation</u>
--------------------------

Highly recommended.

<u>Board Comments</u>
-----------------------

Approved for an R/A. - R/A Accepted for implementation in 32-bit software.

Version:

Change was: ACCEPTED

<u>Additional Notes</u>
-------------------------

9/23/99 - Split projected hours evenly between Jim & New Hire - 720/720

<u>Submitter's Recommendation</u>
-----------------------------------

Revise the "Rules" of the Section.INI file to allow the use of Layout 7 in paragraph text and in notes, without having to insert "artificial" table headers.

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
971008                      PRINT SELECTED SGML TAGS IN MASTER SECTION

**Submitter:**    JOE LOONEY

**SI Recommendation**

10/27/98 - Have received numerous requests since the Board rejected it, especially from Master Text preparer's, that this option be at least incorporated into the Masters Print Options. We are, therefore, re-opening it for further consideration as we feel it is an important option.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

We believe many (probably most) A/E firms mark-up hard copies and give to a typist for processing. When printing hard copies of guide/master sections for a project to be marked-up (red-lined), there are currently two options for printing the SGML tags; print all tags or print no tags. The person performing the red-lining needs to see some of the tags, but if all are printed the page is very cluttered and somewhat confusing. When tags are printed, NOTES do not stand out because tags are printed instead of the rows of asterisks. If tags are not printed, the user cannot identify submittal items, references, or test requirements in the text. They often need to identify changes as well. When English and metric units are included, the user may need these identified too.

**Board Comments**

A prototype will be presented at the next board meeting. Prototype demonstrated at April 1997 meeting and Board rejected it. 11/17/98 - Re-opened and took it back to the Board for re-consideration due to numerous user requests for it.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Provide additional option for selecting tags to be included in the hard copy printout of master text sections. It may be better to devise a convention for using different fonts or text styles to identify items rather than using the tags in the printed text to reduce the clutter. There should be no need to show the following tags: AST, DTE, END, HDR, HL1, HL2, HL3, HL4, ITM, ITM INDENT=, LST, NED, NTE, NPR, OAD, ORG, PRT, REF, TRL, SCN, SEC, STL, SBM, SPT, SBS, SPS, TBL and THD (after tables are better developed), TXT, TTL, and &INC.

**Board Reviewed - Nov 17, 1998**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 2**

**Version:**

**Change was:** PARTIALLY ACCEPTED

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
981016                      GLOBAL FIND ACROSS JOBS/MASTERS

**Submitter:**    PATRICIA ROBINSON

**SI Recommendation**

Highly recommend. It would be an excellent tool to implement. This should be implemented with Control #941003

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Need capability to Find and/or Replace text throughout a Job or Master.

**Board Comments**

Approved.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Develop within SPECSINTACT the option to Search and/or Replace text throughout the entire Job or Master sections.

**Board Reviewed - Nov 17, 1998**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 2**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

## 1620's Assigned A Rating Of - 3

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
001008                      REFERENCE REPORT MODIFICATION

**Submitter:**    CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Presently the Reference Verification Report, Duplicate References and Title Discrepancy Reports print as individual reports. From the Print Menu under the report options you only have the option to select the "Reference Verification Report". There is no separate option to print the Duplicate References and the Title Discrepancy Reports. Regardless you will get all three reports when you request the Reference Verification Report. Due to several calls from our Users, I recommend that the "Reference Verification Report" and "Duplicate Reference Report" be combined into one report (see attached example). Add a separate report selection for the "Title Discrepancy Report". This will not only save paper but make it easier for the Users to identify all the Reference related errors on report. Also, by giving them the choice of printing the "Title Discrepancy Report" will save a tremendous amount of paper and aggravation since the current process prints the report whether they want it or not. The Submittal Verification Report is already done this way.

**Board Reviewed - Jul 18, 2000**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 3**

**SI Recommendation**

It is our recommendation to implement this in a future 32-bit release. It would also be helpful to add additional identifying information to the reports, such as Job/Master name, directory and date.

**Board Comments**

32-Bit Application

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Additional Notes**

7/26/02, 10:17:18 AM - FITZSCL - There are several approved 1620s that should be worked in conjunction with this enhancement, all having to do with report changes.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

991013; 001023; 01-035 and 02-020

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
001009                      EDITOR ENHANCEMENT / NEW FEATURE

**Submitter:**    CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Add a "Zoom" feature to the 32-bit Editor. Several users have requested this feature, they want to be able to change the zoom to 100%, 75%, 50%, Page Width, etc. This is a feature that is most commonly found in Word Processing Applications.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 3**

**SI Recommendation**

This would be a big job. Some shortcuts are possible, but at the expense of lost performance. Should not be attempted until after SI Editor is a 32-bit application (where any performance degradation would be less noticeable).

**Board Comments**

07/18/02 - Deferred until 32-Bit Editor is developed & performing flawless.  
06/13/02 - Change request was deferred and reviewed at the SI-CCCB meeting. The request has been accepted.

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Additional Notes**

---

**Submitter's Recommendation**

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 01-012	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> ADD CAPABILITY TO RENAME OTHER DOCUMENTS	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Add the capability to rename Other Documents from the Sections Menu.	<b>Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 3</b>
--	--	--	---

**Submitter:** CHERYL MANSFIELD

**SI Recommendation**

This suggestion would benefit our users, and would not be difficult. We recommend implementing it.

**Board Comments**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Additional Notes**

4/22/02, 12:00:12 PM - SARTOUB - Capability to rename other documents has been added to non-section documents in either Job or Local Master lists. User must highlight the selected non-section row, right click and select the "Rename" option. At this time the Windows Manager goes into label-edit mode on the file name. User can Esc or change the name of the file at this point. Once the row loses focus, and subject to SI logic, the name of the file is updated.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

This would be a good feature to implement in SpecsIntact 3.0

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 01-025	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> EDITOR ENHANCEMENT TO ADD A REDO FUNCTION
--	---

**Submitter:** KEN BRANN

**SI Recommendation**

This would be a good suggestion for the 32-bit Editor rewrite. Suggest adding this feature to the SpecsIntact toolbar and to the Edit pulldown menu.

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**  
Provide "redo" button to recover an undo. It would help to recover an undo than have to retype/paste.

**Board Comments**

Accepted for 32-bit editor.

**Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001**  
**Date Completed:**  
**Assigned Rating: 3**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Additional Notes**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Add next to undo on tool bar.

---

# All Open 1620s

## (Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 01-030	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> MODIFICATION TO THE PROJECT TABLE OF CONTENTS	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> This is an old request but one that needs to be implemented. The Army version of SI3, as well as all of the previous versions, does not list Division 00 sections when a Project Table of Contents is generated. WHY NOT!!!! Contracting, at least in the Fort Worth District and hopefully others, only do a few of the sections (i.e. 00100, 00600, 00700, 00800, and SF1442 form) and documents that go in Division 00. The Specification and Cost Engineering Sections do the bidding schedule, cover page, project table of contents, pre-bid conference section, insert the wage rates and affirmation action pages, and all the rest of the documents that go in Division 01, and assemble the complete project manual. Even though 00100, 00600, 00700, and 00800 are done by Contracting in PD2 and Word and are not in Specsintact, one-page dummy SI sections can be created, and have been, for the purpose of generating a complete Project Table of Contents.	<b>Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 3</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> TERRY VITT			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> We highly recommend that this feature be implemented. The Support Desk has to spend time walking users through a work-around that will print DIVISION 00 on the Project Table of Contents. This is not only a requirement for Army but for all Agencies.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b> Approved to print from (JOB) window - Option to print without Division 00 will be at the top.	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Modify the Army version of Specsintact to allow Division 00 sections and documents to be listed on the Project Table of Contents.	

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 01-042	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> ABILITY TO RELOCATE JOBS	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> In the situation where a job is created, and later needs to be shared on a server, there needs to be a direct method for relocating the job files.	<b>Board Reviewed - Nov 14, 2001</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 3</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> JOE LOONEY			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> This would be a good enhancement to incorporate. The functionality indirectly is available through the Backup/Restore/Manage feature. (Steps include: 1) Backup the Job using Archive (Zip) Format, 2) Delete Job, 3) Restore Job (on the Restore/Manage Tab, under Action select new working directory path).		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b>	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Add function to move or specify new working folder for the job. This could be in the properties window (where the path is now shown), or from the file menu or right-click on the job. This function seems to be very similar to the 'Specify PDF folder' and 'Specify WordSpec folder' functions.	

# All Open 1620s

## (Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

01-043

CREATION OF LEVELS GREATER THAN 4 IN THE EDITOR

**Submitter:** TERRY VITT

<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>
---

SpecsIntact doesn't recognize level 5 paragraphs (i.e. 1.9.1.1.1), as in it will not print these paragraph numbers (it prints them as 1.9.1.1.#). No problem here, and I understand the reasoning for stopping the levels at Level 4. But, the SpecsIntact Editor does allow the creation of subpart levels greater than 4 (i.e. 1.9.1.1.5, 1.9.1.1.1.6, 1.9.1.1.1.7, etc) . This is creating a problem for designers, as the spec writers/editors, creating any number of subpart levels and then catching these errors before the project is advertised. Is it possible to modify the Editor so that it doesn't allow the creation of subpart levels greater than 4?

<u>Board Comments</u>
-----------------------

**Board Reviewed - Nov 14, 2001**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 3**

<u>SI Recommendation</u>
--------------------------

This would take some time to implement, but it is feasible. Currently, the validation log displays an error stating you have nested a subpart too deeply. If the user does not view the validation log the error is not detected until the review of the printed section file or STOC. This will also cause problems with the section table of contents (TOC) (a # sign is indicated on the TOC). Recommend this feature be implemented in the re-write of the 32-bit SI Editor.

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

<u>Additional Notes</u>
-------------------------

07/17/02 - FITZSCL - While determining the rating of the Open 1620s the SpecsIntact Staff agreed that in light of the direction that we may be taking to go to Uniformat Specifications that this 1620 needs to be represented to the Board for reconsideration and possibly withdrawing this request. Therefore, this request is being placed on hold until the next SI-CCCB Board Meeting to be held in November 2002.

<u>Submitter's Recommendation</u>
-----------------------------------

Please modify the Editor so that it doesn't allow the creation of subpart levels greater than 4.

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

01-047

NEW ENHANCEMENT TO THE PRINT OPTION

**Submitter:** CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>
---

Add capability to the 32-bit Editor and the 32-bit Process & Print menu to "Print Current Page."

**Board Reviewed - Nov 14, 2001**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 3**

<u>SI Recommendation</u>
--------------------------

This feature would be very helpful to our users as well as the SpecsIntact Support and Development team. Not to mention the cost savings for paper usage. Recommend for new 32-bit SI Editor (after initial release).

<u>Board Comments</u>
-----------------------

Draft page to be printed from Editor. Page Preview to be added to Print Process in the 32-bit version.

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

<u>Additional Notes</u>
-------------------------

<u>Submitter's Recommendation</u>
-----------------------------------

This feature would be very helpful to our users as well as the SpecsIntact Support and Development team.

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 01-053	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> ADD FEATURE TO SI EDITOR TO CONTROL THE DISPLAY OF FONTS	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Text on screen is to small and is hard to read.	<b>Board Reviewed - Nov 14, 2001</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 3</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> JEFF WILSON			

## **SI Recommendation**

Fortunately, the new version of the SI Editor will do a much better job displaying different fonts. In fact, in preliminary testing, it has done a reasonable job displaying variable pitch fonts, something that the current version handles poorly.

Incorporate in the SI Editor 32-bit re-write, after the initial release

## **Additional Notes**

7/18/02, 9:38:37 AM - FITZSCL - This request should be worked with 01-009.

## **Board Comments**

To be incorporated into the rewrite of the Editor to 32-Bit after the initial release.

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

## **Submitter's Recommendation**

Make it so that you can change the text size that is displayed on the monitor.

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 981006	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> CUSTOMIZE TOOLBAR FOR MASTERS
--	---

**Submitter:** SHERRI BERGMAN

## **SI Recommendation**

It is a good idea, and would be appreciated by many users. Recommend it only apply to tag buttons. Approximately 2 to 3 weeks to implement.

## **Additional Notes**

## **Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Some of the buttons I don't use (LST, HL2, HL3) so they never get used. I would like to be able to add buttons (NPR, CHG, HL4) for example, in order to save time and make effort easier.

## **Board Comments**

## **Submitter's Recommendation**

To customize the tool bar to better utilize the buttons, save time and effort.

**Board Reviewed - Nov 17, 1998**  
**Date Completed:**  
**Assigned Rating: 3**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED



# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
991007                    AUTOMATIC GENERATION SUBMITTAL REGISTER

**Submitter:**   MARTHA MULLER

**SI Recommendation**

During the processing of the Submittal Register and the Submittal Verification Report, if problems exist on the Verification Report, pause the processing and allow the user to choose to continue processing or generate the error report. Recommend this feature be incorporated into future releases of the 32-bit version of SPECSINTACT as a new enhancement.

**Additional Notes**

05/23/00 - CLF: Spoke w/Jim Whitehead in reference to this 1620. Include all "Verification Reports" in this change request.

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

When creating the print files for the submittal register, an automatic "Submittal Verification Results" report is printed along with the submittal register. Errors related to submittal descriptions, submittal items and classifications will be listed on this report. However, it doesn't do any good because the submittal register continues to print before you even have the option to fix the errors. It's a waste of paper and time because you will still need to go back into the job, fix the errors then reprint the Submittal Register.

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

When creating the print files for the Submittal Register, if there are errors on the Submittal Verification Report, stop the process, flag the user that there are errors and do not print the Submittal Register. Give them a chance to fix the errors first.

**Board Reviewed - Dec 07, 1999**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 3**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
991013                    MODIFY PRINT PROCESS FOR THE SUBMITTAL  
VERIFICATION REPORT

**Submitter:**   KARENA SAMUEL

**SI Recommendation**

In order not to cause additional confusion to our users, we recommend that if no errors exist on the Submittal Verification Report that the report will not print. If errors are found on the Submittal Verification Report give the user the capability of pausing the process in order to print just the Report and not the Submittal Register. This should be worked in conjunction with #991007, since both 1620's have been submitted to enhance this process. This should be incorporated into the 32-bit version of SPECSINTACT as a new enhancement/feature.

**Additional Notes**

7/26/02, 10:16:18 AM - FITZSCL - There are several approved 1620s that should be worked in conjunction with this enhancement, all having to do with report changes.

001008; 001023; 01-035 and 02-020

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

When we finish a Job and we get it ready for the Electronic Biddset (EBS), it is really irritating to have to go through all the submittals and pull out the verification reports. Can you make this an optional choice, instead of automatically printing the Submittal Verification Report w/the Submittal Register, pint it whenever we want?

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

This should be an option instead of automatically printing out whenever we want the Submittal Register.

**Board Reviewed - Dec 07, 1999**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 3**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

## 1620's Assigned A Rating Of - 4

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

001014	PROJECT PROPERTIES ENHANCEMENT
--------	--------------------------------

**Submitter:** JOE LOONEY

**SI Recommendation**

We recommend adding a link to working directories dialog box from the properties dialog.

**Additional Notes**

4/18/02, 4:52:45 PM - ROBINPT - test

4/22/02, 11:54:49 AM - SARTOUB - A "Working Directories" command button was added to Properties window of a Job or Local Master. This button will invoke the Working Directories dialog box as from Setup, and allow user to Add/Remove working directories. Once the user returns from the dialog box, any changes to the working directory set will be reflected in the Path drop down combo list.

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Allow users to create working directories on the fly in the Properties Menu instead of having to go through Setup / Working Directories.

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

**Board Reviewed - Dec 05, 2000**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 4**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

001027	SPECSINTACT ENHANCEMENT
--------	-------------------------

**Submitter:** JOE LOONEY

**SI Recommendation**

We recommend this be implemented in a future release of the 32-bit version of SpecsIntact.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Provide the capability to add section(s) into a Job/Master straight from a website. In the case of Navy, the NAVFAC website will often have the latest updates available. It would be beneficial to add these sections into a Job/Master without leaving SpecsIntact.

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

**Board Reviewed - Dec 05, 2000**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 4**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

01-018	VALIDATION - TTL NOT IN PRT IN DIV 00 SECTION
--------	---

**Submitter:** JOE LOONEY

**SI Recommendation**

We have no objections to implementing this request, provided that Division 00 documents will be in use for some time to come.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

DIV 00 usually has only one part and does not utilize PART titles. In order for a DIV 00 section to validate, it must have PRT and TTL tags and they must be properly formatted with spaces, etc.

**Board Comments**

Implement same logic to Division 1

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Provide for validation of DIV 00 files with PRT tags that do not have a title. Do this in a way that paragraph numbering will also function.

**Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 4**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 01-019	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> When text is selected (highlighted) in the editor, check selected text	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Spellcheck Selected text in the SI Editor	<b>Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 4</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> JOE LOONEY			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> This is a good suggestion. The same should be done when finding text in the Editor -- the highlighted text should be the default search term. We recommend implementing in the 32-bit version of the SI Editor.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b> Approved for 32-Bit Version of SI Editor.	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> When text is selected (highlighted) in the editor, check selected text. This might require that the spellcheck dialog offer the option to check "selected" instead of or in addition to "word".	
<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 01-023	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> MODIFY SI3 BROWSE LIST	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> When bouncing between Windows Explorer (for whatever reason) and all of the SpecsIntact browse boxes, it is confusing. The two display different directory structures.	<b>Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 4</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> PATRICIA ROBINSON			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> This is a good suggestion, although it will require some work to implement. Using the standard Windows common dialog box, which shows the user's desktop, rather than the older Visual Basic version that we currently employ would be a good improvement.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b>	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Modify the SpecsIntact browse boxes to display the directory structure as in Windows Explorer. For Example: Desktop \ My Computer \ C:\ then all of the other drive letters.	
<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 01-024	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> SI EDITOR ENHANCEMENT TO CONVERT CASE	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Provide case conversion similar to Word or WordPerfect that permits changing the text to Caps/lower case/Cap First Character. If caps lock is left on or if wrong case is typed in at a subpart level, one currently has to delete the text and retype, which is a pain in the butt. Seems like a pretty basic word processing function that is used frequently.	<b>Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 4</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> KEN BRANN			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> This is a good suggestion, the Support Desk has had several users request this feature in the past. Recommend this feature be implemented under the Edit pulldown menu, "Change Case...", "Sentence case, lower case, UPPERCASE, Title Case." Suggest this be implemented in the Editor 32-bit rewrite.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b> Approved for new 32-bit editor.	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Add to tool bar	

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

**Control Number**

01-026

**Title of Prob/Requirements**

EDITOR TOOLBAR ENHANCEMENT

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Modify the Toolbar buttons for Exit, New, Open and Save to be more Window standard buttons. SpecsIntact customers have mentioned on more than one occasion that these buttons are confusing and not window standard.

**Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 4**

**Submitter:** CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

**SI Recommendation**

Suggest Implementing in the 32-bit Editor rewrite.

**Board Comments**

Accepted for new 32-bit editor.

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Additional Notes****Submitter's Recommendation**

---

**Control Number**

01-041

**Title of Prob/Requirements**

HEADER ON A RENAMED JOB

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Renaming a job indicates starting a new or different job, as opposed to duplicating a job which most likely implies a variation or modification of a job that will basically remain the same.

**Board Reviewed - Nov 14, 2001**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 4**

**Submitter:** JOE LOONEY

**SI Recommendation**

Recommend providing users with the capability of returning the default settings or to keep current settings when renaming or duplicating jobs.

**Board Comments**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Additional Notes****Submitter's Recommendation**

When renaming a job, default print settings should be applied. In particular, the header footer settings should be reset to the default fields. (This does not apply when duplicating a job.) As an alternative, there could be another option/selection available when renaming or duplicating a job that asks the user whether to return default setting or keep existing print settings. (There are other settings such as english/metric that could also come under this same option.)

---

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>	<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------	---	--------------------------------------

01-051	ENHANCEMENT FOR BACKUP/RESTORE/MANAGE FEATURE		<b>Date Completed:</b>
--------	--	--	------------------------

**Submitter:** CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

Currently SpecsIntact gives the users the capability to backup Other Files such as the Supplement Reference List and the Section Template(s) Selected Below. We do not provide the users the capability to backup the SI Documents such as their Project Information Pages, Cover Pages and Bid Schedules that our users create. The Section Templates can easily be retrieved by one of two ways, copying them from C:\Program Files\SpecsIntact\Templates to the Working Directory or by re-installing SpecsIntact. The users do not have a way to retrieve the SI Document Templates if they are lost.

**Assigned Rating: 4**

**SI Recommendation**

This would be a good feature to incorporate since the users have no way to backup the SI Documents other than copying them through Windows Explorer.

**Board Comments**

11/14/01 - Deferred for further investigation.  
06/13/02 - Request was reviewed at the SI-CCCB meeting and it was accepted.

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Additional Notes**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Add the current option to backup "Other Files".

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>	<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------	---	--------------------------------------

02-002	Revise the process when adding Section 01420		<b>Date Completed:</b>
--------	--	--	------------------------

**Submitter:** DONALD HOLLAND

In the UFGS Master, Section 01420, Sources for Reference Publications, contains "AOK 5/01 and LOK 2/01," symbols following the Organization Name, and are the subject of design review comments. Please clarify or delete in the future specs.

**Assigned Rating: 4**

**SI Recommendation**

Recommend modifying the MakeTOC program to remove the AOK and LOK designations during the creation of the 01420 Section.

**Board Comments**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Additional Notes**

7/18/02, 10:20:08 AM - FITZSCL - This should be worked in conjunction with Mr. Quinn's "SpecsIntact Master Maintenance" program that replaces/combines the MacToc, MastZip and other independent applications.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

When Section 01420 is automatically added into a job, remove "AOK and LOK," as well as their "dates."

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 02-011	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> REFERENCE MANAGER AUTO UPDATE SUPPLEMENTAL	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Provide the capability to the Reference Manager Menu to automatically update the Supplemental Reference List. There is not process in place that makes this functionality easy, this must be done manually which makes this process complicated, step intensive and time consuming.	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 4</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> CHERYL FITZ-SIMON		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b>	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> This would be a good enhancement to implement. And would save a considerable amount of time on the production end of a job. This should be implemented at the same time as 1620 #02-011 and #02-015.		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Add a new functionality to the Reference Manager to automatically "Update Supplemental".	
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>			

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 02-015	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> REFERENCE MANAGER AUTO UPDATE ADDRESS SECTION	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Provide the capability to the Reference Manager create / update a new Reference Organization and automatically update the Address Section (01420). There is not process in place that makes this functionality easy. All updates must be done manually which makes this process complicated, step intensive and time consuming.	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 4</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> CHERYL FITZ-SIMON		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b>	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> This would be a good enhancement to implement a.nd would save a considerable amount of time on the production end of a job. This should be implemented at the same time as 1620 #02-011 and #02-015.		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Add a new functionality to the Reference Manager to Create New Reference Organizations and automatically "Update Address Section".	
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>			

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

**Control Number**

02-017

**Title of Prob/Requirements**

DISPLAY SECTION DATE IN SI EXPLORER

**Submitter:** RICHARD CORNER

**SI Recommendation**

Adding a Column in the SpecsIntact Explorer for the Section Date (as specified in the <DTE> tags) would be both easy and worthwhile. This would be another useful piece of information for our users.

**Additional Notes****Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

In the Jobs browser, it would be extremely useful to have a column for Section Dates, i.e., to display the release date (mm/yy format) of each section under a job. The Section Date column would be indexed to the tagged <DTE>mm/yy</DTE> data beneath each section title.

**Board Comments**

06/13/02 - Low Priority

**Submitter's Recommendation**

By displaying the section release dates in the browser, users can quickly evaluate whether or not the job contains the latest available masters. The Army and Navy are revising and reissuing masters on a relatively irregular timetable, and they expect us to edit the latest available masters for each project. The CCB is issued only twice a year, and interim updates on the CCB website, while available, are not announced. It is a nuisance to open sections in the SI Editor one at a time just to retrieve this date.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 4**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

## 1620's Assigned A Rating Of - 5

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
001011    PRINT ENHANCEMENT - DOUBLE LINES

**Submitter:**    MARTHA MULLER

**SI Recommendation**

This would not require extensive programming to implement in the software, and so we recommend it for the new software only.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Under the Print Options add the capability to print lines double spaced.

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

This has been suggested by several engineers who edit sections on paper.  
This allows more room for editing and markup.

**Board Reviewed - Jul 18, 2000**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 5**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
001015    32-BIT PRINT PREVIEW ENHANCEMENT

**Submitter:**    SABINE FINTAK

**SI Recommendation**

This would be a good enhancement to build into the 32-Bit Editor. It should tie in with the use of Job/Master printing from the Editor. Recommend for after the Editor has been released as a 32-bit application.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Integrate print preview. This would be helpful when you only have one change you don't have to print the entire section but are capable of printing just one page.

**Board Comments**

Approved to be implemented in the 32-bit Editor.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

**Board Reviewed - Dec 05, 2000**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 5**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED



# All Open 1620s

## (Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
001023                      HEADERS FOR REPORTS

**Submitter:**   JIM QUINN

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

In order to increase the usefulness of reports generated through SpecsIntact, develop a header for each report providing the following information:

1. Identification of Master, Job and/or Section covered by the report.
2. Identification of the file name of the report.
3. Date of report - Title of Report
4. Brief description of purpose/use of report.

SEE CONTINUATION

**Board Reviewed - Dec 05, 2000**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 5**

**SI Recommendation**

Use the Header and footer information from the Process and Print Menu, and add default master to list of header/footer fields.

Information specific to each report should be added to (such as filename) to existing, custom footers. Other information or 2nd footer line. We could add a master variable to existing header/footer variables, which would cause the default master (for jobs) or master name (for masters) to be added to all printed files.

**Additional Notes**

6/28/02, 10:48:43 AM - FITZSCL - There are several approved 1620s that should be worked in conjunction with this enhancement, all having to do with report changes.

991013; 001008; 01-035 and 02-020.

**Board Comments**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Submitter's Recommendation**

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
001026                      NEW TAG

**Submitter:**   DOUG LARSEN

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Create a tag for specification section attachment which will show up on the section table of contents. Often we have to attach documents that are not apart of SpecsIntact such as permits, etc.

**Board Reviewed - Dec 05, 2000**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 5**

**SI Recommendation**

We recommend this feature be implemented. A tag could be created giving the user the option of printing attachments on the STOC and where to list these attachments at the beginning or end of the STOC.

**Additional Notes**

7/30/02, 2:36:49 PM - FITZSCL - This should be worked with 02-007 and 02-008. This will possibly affect the FrontEnd, SI Editor, prntproc32.dll, prntjob.dll and WordSpec.

**Board Comments**

Implement for PTOC and STOC (Low Priority - After 32-bit Editor is FULLY FUNCTIONAL)

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Submitter's Recommendation**

---

# All Open 1620s

## (Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 01-033	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> AUTO SELECT FOR RESTORED JOB IN SI EXPLORER	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Automatically go to/select restored job.	<b>Board Reviewed - May 02, 2001</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 5</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> JOE LOONEY			

### **SI Recommendation**

### **Board Comments**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

### **Additional Notes**

4/22/02, 12:03:57 PM - SARTOUB - User can access the Backup/Resore dialog, restore a Job or Local Master and verify that upon return from the dialog box the restored item is now selected.

### **Submitter's Recommendation**

When restoring a job, select the folder for that job in the list on the left pane of the SI Explorer.

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 01-035	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> ADDITIONAL REQUEST FOR CHANGING REPORT HEADERS/FOOTERS
<b>Submitter:</b> JIM QUINN	

### **Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Please refer to my previous Form 1620 Number 001023, Subject: HEADERS FOR REPORTS. Many people think of and discuss various SpecsIntact generated reports as "Error Reports" when in fact they are "Quality Control Reports" and should be identified as such. Some examples are: Address Verification Report (not an error if no intent to include section 01420 in the job); Submittal Verification Report (not an error if no intent to tag submittal items outside the submittal paragraph); Section Verification Report (not an error if no intent to include "A" and "N" designations in references to other sections); Missing Parts Report (not an error if only one unidentified used Part used in the section as is the case for some Division 01 sections).

**Board Reviewed - Nov 14, 2001**  
**Date Completed:**  
**Assigned Rating: 5**

### **SI Recommendation**

This would be a beneficial enhancement to the SpecsIntact reports process. This 1620 should be worked in conjunction with the other 1620s that have been submitted on the reports (991013; 001008; and 001023) have already been accepted).

### **Board Comments**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

### **Additional Notes**

Review existing reports for possible elimination.

### **Submitter's Recommendation**

Clearly indicate in the brief description of the report (to be added per 1620 Number 001023) that the reports document the situation for quality control purposes and not to indicate fatal errors. Possible wording: "Informational Quality Control report showing sections referred to in the text that are not found in other sections of the project specifications or the master."

7/26/02, 10:26:36 AM - FITZSCL - There are several approved 1620s that should be worked in conjunction with this enhancement, all having to do with report changes.

991013; 001008; 001023 and 02-020.

# All Open 1620s

## (Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

02-007	Highlight Corrections
--------	-----------------------

**Submitter:** JENNIFER HORVATH

**SI Recommendation**

This would be easily incorporated into the 32-bit Editor. Recommend this feature be implemented after the initial release of the 32-bit Editor.

**Additional Notes**

7/18/02, 9:39:40 AM - FITZSCL - Teleconference was held with Mr. Quinn on 06/14/02, it was determined that the <CHG> tag would not be the best way to handle this feature. I new tag "<HLS> - Highlight Screen", was created for this feature. The <HLS> tag will change the foreground color to green.

7/30/02, 2:36:13 PM - FITZSCL - This should be worked with 001026 and 02-008. This will possibly affect the FrontEnd, SI Editor, prntproc32.dll, prntjob.dll and WordSpec.

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

When working with a client or a customer it would be beneficial to be able to have the option to have any fixes or changes highlighted to help draw there attention to this part of the section.

**Board Comments**

06/13/02 - Instead of a new tag use the Chg tag to highlight.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Have an icon at on the toolbar that allows for the user to highlight additions/ deletions or just places that the contractor would like to draw the customers attention to.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 5**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

02-016	AUTOMATIC SUBMITTAL ARTICLE / REGISTER GENERATION
--------	---

**Submitter:** JOE LOONEY

**SI Recommendation**

We recommend going with Joe's first solution to provide tag attributes that will support the automatic generation of the Submittal Article. This would be a very beneficial feature for both the Master Text Preparer's as well as your user community.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Have SPECSINTACT generate the SUBMITTALS paragraph (as well as the SUBMITTAL REGISTER).

This will provide a method to encourage project specification writers to edit the list of submittals.

**Board Comments**

06/13/02 - Low Priority

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Currently, the spec-preparer must manually edit submittals and items under submittals. Often times, since this paragraph is at the beginning of the section, it is skipped over as editing begins, and then forgotten. In the process, provisions have been removed from the section, but corresponding submittal items in the SUBMITTALS paragraph have not. The result is conflicting requirements in the spec.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 5**

**Version:**

**Change was:** ACCEPTED

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 02-018	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> OPTION TO PRINT SECTION DATE ON THE PTOC	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Add a new option that allows the Master Section Dates (<DTE>) to print on the Project Table of Contents.	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 5</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> RICHARD CORNER			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> Allowing the option to print the Section Date in the Project Table of Contents is a very good idea and would be beneficial to all of our users.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b> 06/13/02 - Low Priority	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Include an option to print the Section Dates in the Jobs Table of Contents in such a manner that each sections master release date prints after the section number but before the title of each section. An alternative would be to create a new report which displayed the section numbers, release dates and titles.	
<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 981011	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> MAKE SECTION/PROJECT TOC MORE DISTINCTIVE	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Section and Project TOC should be printed with page lettering (such as "i" and "ii") instead of numbers. The Table of Contents is more of an Index than a page of the specification.	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 5</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> DOUG LARSEN			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> Some users would not want this, so we would have to make it optional. Providing this option with continuous page numbering would be confusing, so we recommend limiting to cases where each section is numbered separately. A week is all that is needed to implement with this limitation.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b> 11/17/98 - Deferred for review at another time. 06/13/02 - Not sure if there are enough users out there that would warrant adding such an option.	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> DEFERRED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Re-code the software to process Table of Contents with page numbering that reflects index numbering rather than page numbering as in the specification. This will make the sections less confusing.	
<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 981012	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> ADD FLEXIBILITY TO THE SECTION TOC	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> The Section TOC reports more information than what is needed on a regular basis. Allow users to select the number of levels shown on the TOC. Example: 2 levels vs. 3 or 4 levels.	<b>Board Reviewed - Nov 17, 1998</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 5</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> DOUG LARSEN			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> This would probably be worthwhile, and fairly simple to implement. Also implement with Control #981017.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b> Approved.	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b> 6/28/02, 11:06:07 AM - FITZSCL - Refer to 981017		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Re-code the software to allow users to select the depth of detail on the Section TOC (such as showing only 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 as opposed to 2.1, 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2, 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3, 2.3.1, 2.3.2, etc.)	

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 981017	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> EXTEND FUNCTIONALITY OF THE CREATION OF THE PTOC	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Extend the functionality of the creation of Project TOC for Jobs. Currently the PTOC lists the Divisions and the Section Numbers with Section Title. Allow the user the option of selecting to include any Subpart level (1 - 4) under the Section. The Subpart Title will be displayed and Subpart levels will be indented like the Section TOC is now.	<b>Board Reviewed - Nov 17, 1998</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 5</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> DAVID MALTBY			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> Recommend implementing this additional functionality to the PTOC and with Control #981012.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b> Approved.	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b> The board directed SPECSINTACT to generate this 1620 at the November 1998 meeting.  6/28/02, 11:10:44 AM - FITZSCL - Refer to 981012.		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Include Subpart levels in the Project Table of Contents in addition to the Section Number and Title.	

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b> 981019	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b> ALLOW EDITING OF SD REGISTER FOOTER	<b><u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u></b> Add capability to modify and/or create a "2-line footer" in the Stand-alone Submittal Register Program.	<b>Board Reviewed - Dec 07, 1999</b> <b>Date Completed:</b> <b>Assigned Rating: 5</b>
<b>Submitter:</b> MARTHA MULLER			
<b><u>SI Recommendation</u></b> Incorporate into the 32-bit rewrite of the Stand-alone Submittal Register Program.		<b><u>Board Comments</u></b> Approved as recommended by SPECSINTACT	<b>Version:</b> <b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b><u>Additional Notes</u></b>		<b><u>Submitter's Recommendation</u></b> Add a Function Key to allow users to create/modify a footer. At present the stand-alone only allows you to modify the Header (F4).	

---

# All Open 1620s

(Grouped By Assigned Rating, & Sorted By Control Number)

---

<b><u>Control Number</u></b>	<b><u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u></b>
991001	ABILITY TO GET TOTAL PAGE COUNT PER SECTION/PROJECT

**Submitter:** MARTHA MULLER

**SI Recommendation**

This is a feature the DOS Version (1.4) offered the users. At their request they would like this feature incorporated into the current version.

If approved, it is our recommendation to bring this feature back and build it into future releases of the 32-bit software.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

The SI help desk has received this request from several customers. Add the ability to get the total number of pages per section and also per project without going in and opening/retrieving every section.

**Board Comments**

Deferred until the next SI-CCB Meeting.

**Board Reviewed - Dec 07, 1999**

**Date Completed:**

**Assigned Rating: 5**

**Version:**

**Change was:** DEFERRED

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Generate a report that would allow the users to view/print a report that generates the total page count for the Sections, STOC, PTOC and Total page count for the entire project.

Example: 08310 25  
          01000 10  
          STOC 2  
          PTOC 6  
TOTAL: 43